

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
6

7 **LARRY EDWARD FITZPATRICK, et al.,**

8 **Plaintiffs**

9 **v.**

10 **CITY OF CALIFORNIA CITY, et al.,**

11 **Defendants**

CASE NO. 1:196-CV-5411 AWI SMS

**ORDER DENYING MOTION IN LIGHT
OF AMENDED MOTION**

(Doc. No. 108)

12
13
14 On May 29, 2013, Defendants filed a motion to enforce judgment. See Doc. No. 108. On
15 August 19, 2013, Defendants filed an amended motion to enforce judgment. See Doc. No. 119.
16 This motion amended the May 29 motion to enforce judgment. See id. Although the August 19
17 motion amended the May 29 motion, the Court's docket shows that both motions are pending. For
18 administrative purposes, the Court will deny the May 29, 2013 motion. This denial is
19 administrative, is not on the merits, and does not affect in any way the August 19 amended
20 motion. An order on the August 19 motion will be issued at a later time.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the May 29, 2013 motion to enforce
22 judgment (Doc. No. 108) is DENIED, as discussed above.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: March 28, 2014

25 
26 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28